

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THE PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK FOR REVIEW OF LOCAL MITIGATION PLANS

Attached is a Plan Review Crosswalk based on the **Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance**, published by FEMA in July, 2008. This Plan Review Crosswalk is consistent with the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act* (Stafford Act), as amended by Section 322 of the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000* (P.L. 106-390), the *National Flood Insurance Act of 1968*, as amended by the *National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004* (P.L. 108-264) and *44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201 – Mitigation Planning*, inclusive of all amendments through October 31, 2007.

#### SCORING SYSTEM

**N – Needs Improvement:** The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer’s comments must be provided.

**S – Satisfactory:** The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer’s comments are encouraged, but not required.

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of a requirement must be rated “Satisfactory” in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a summary score of “Satisfactory.” A “Needs Improvement” score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing.

When reviewing single jurisdiction plans, reviewers may want to put an N/A in the boxes for multi-jurisdictional plan requirements. When reviewing multi-jurisdictional plans, however, all elements apply. States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements. Optional matrices for assisting in the review of sections on profiling hazards, assessing vulnerability, and identifying and analyzing mitigation actions are found at the end of the Plan Review Crosswalk.

**The example below illustrates how to fill in the Plan Review Crosswalk.:**

<b>Assessing Vulnerability: Overview</b>				
<i>Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): [The risk assessment shall include a] description of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.</i>				
Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer’s Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include an <b>overall summary</b> description of the jurisdiction’s <b>vulnerability</b> to each hazard?	Section II, pp. 4-10	The plan describes the types of assets that are located within geographically defined hazard areas as well as those that would be affected by winter storms.		<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan address the <b>impact</b> of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Section II, pp. 10-20	The plan does not address the impact of two of the five hazards addressed in the plan. <b>Required Revisions:</b> • Include a description of the impact of floods and earthquakes on the assets. <b>Recommended Revisions:</b> This information can be presented in terms of dollar value or percentages of damage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>			<input type="checkbox"/>	

# LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW SUMMARY

The plan cannot be approved if the plan has not been formally adopted. Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of the requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a score of "Satisfactory." Elements of each requirement are listed on the following pages of the Plan Review Crosswalk. A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a "Needs Improvement" score.

### Prerequisite(s) (Check Applicable Box)

1. Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) OR

NOT MET	MET
x	

2. Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5)  
AND

NOT MET	MET
x	

3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation: §201.6(a)(3)

NOT MET	MET
	x

### Planning Process

4. Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(b) and §201.6(c)(1)

N	S
	x

### Risk Assessment

5. Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)

N	S
	x

6. Profiling Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)

N	S
	x

7. Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)

N	S
	x

8. Assessing Vulnerability: Addressing Repetitive Loss Properties. §201.6(c)(2)(ii)

N	S
	x

9. Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures, Infrastructure, and Critical Facilities: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)

N	S
	x

10. Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)

N	S
	x

11. Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)

N	S
	x

12. Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii)

N	S
	x

\*States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements.

## SCORING SYSTEM

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

**N – Needs Improvement:** The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.

**S – Satisfactory:** The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

### Mitigation Strategy

13. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i)

N	S
	x

14. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(ii)

N	S
	x

15. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: **NFIP Compliance. §201.6(c)(3)(ii)**

N	S
	x

16. Implementation of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iii)

N	S
	x

17. Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iv)

N	S
	x

### Plan Maintenance Process

18. Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)

N	S
	x

19. Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)

N	S
	x

20. Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)

N	S
	x

### Additional State Requirements\*

Insert State Requirement

N	S

Insert State Requirement

N	S

Insert State Requirement

N	S

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STATUS

PLAN NOT APPROVED

See Reviewer's Comments

PLAN APPROVED

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

<b>Jurisdiction:</b> Fulton County	<b>Title of Plan:</b> Fulton County Hazard Mitigation Plan	<b>Date of Plan:</b> 12/18/09 Version for PEMA and FEMA Review
<b>Local Point of Contact:</b> Mary K. Seville	<b>Address:</b> 219 North Second Street Suite 102 McConnellsburg, PA 17233	
<b>Title:</b> Planning and Mapping Director		
<b>Agency:</b> Fulton County Planning Commission		
<b>Phone Number:</b> (717) 485-3717	<b>E-Mail:</b> mkseville@co.fulton.pa.us	

<b>State Reviewer:</b> Russell E. Kratzer, III	<b>Title:</b> Emergency Management Specialist	<b>Date:</b>
---	--	--------------

<b>FEMA Reviewer:</b>	<b>Title:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Date Received in FEMA Region [Insert #]</b>		
<b>Plan Not Approved</b>		
<b>Plan Approved</b>		
<b>Date Approved</b>		

<b>Jurisdiction:</b>	<b>DFIRM</b>		<b>NFIP Status*</b>			
	<b>In Plan</b>	<b>NOT in Plan</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>CRS Class</b>
1. Ayr Township	x		x			<i>Not Participating</i>
2. Belfast Township	x		x			<i>Not Participating</i>
3. Bethel Township	x		x			<i>Not Participating</i>
4. Brush Creek Township	x		x			<i>Not Participating</i>
5. Dublin Township	x		x			<i>Not Participating</i>
6. Licking Creek Township	x		x			<i>Not Participating</i>

**LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK**

7. McConnellsburg Borough	X		X			<i>Not Participating</i>
8. Taylor Township	X		X			<i>Not Participating</i>
9. Thompson Township	X		X			<i>Not Participating</i>
10. Todd Township	X		X			<i>Not Participating</i>
11. Union Township	X		X			<i>Not Participating</i>
12. Valley-Hi Borough	X			X		<i>Not Participating</i>
13. Wells Township	X		X			<i>Not Participating</i>

\* Notes:

Y = Participating

N = Not Participating

N/A = Not Mapped

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### PREREQUISITE(S)

#### 1. Adoption by the Local Governing Body

**Requirement §201.6(c)(5):** [The local hazard mitigation plan **shall** include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council).

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Has the local governing body adopted <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Will be in Appendix A	Resolutions will be in Appendix A upon adoption.	x	
B. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included?	Will be in Appendix A	Resolutions will be in Appendix A upon adoption.	x	
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>			x	

#### 2. Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

**Requirement §201.6(c)(5):** For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan **must** document that it has been formally adopted.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan indicate the specific jurisdictions represented in the plan?	Sec. 3.5 (p. 17)	Plan indicates specific jurisdictions represented in the plan.		x
B. For each jurisdiction, has the local governing body adopted the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Section 3.5 (p. 17); Section 8 (pp. 105-106)	Will be adopted following FEMA review. Table showing adoption by municipalities in Section 3.5. Template adoption resolutions found in Section 8.	x	
C. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included for each participating jurisdiction?	Will be in Appendix A	Resolutions will be in Appendix A upon adoption.	x	
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>			x	

#### 3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

**Requirement §201.6(a)(3):** Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process ... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe <b>how</b> each jurisdiction participated in the plan's development?	Section 3.4 (p. 14); Section 3.5 (pp. 16-17)	Participation is described in these sections and indicated in Table 7 on p. 15		x

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

**Requirement §201.6(a)(3):** *Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process ... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
B. Does the updated plan identify all participating jurisdictions, including new, continuing, and the jurisdictions that no longer participate in the plan?	Section 3.5 (pp. 17)			X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

**PLANNING PROCESS:** §201.6(b): *An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan.*

### 4. Documentation of the Planning Process

**Requirement §201.6(b):** *In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process shall include:*

- (1) *An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;*
- (2) *An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and*
- (3) *Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.*

**Requirement §201.6(c)(1):** *[The plan shall document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of the process followed to prepare the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Sec. 3.1 (p. 12); Sec. 4.1 (p. 19); Sec. 5.1 (p. 62), Sec. 6.1 (p. 83); Sec. 7.1 (p. 99)	Narrative descriptions are provided at the beginning of every section of the plan.		X
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan indicate who was involved in the <b>current</b> planning process? (For example, who led the development at the staff level and were there any external contributors such as contractors? Who participated on the plan committee, provided information, reviewed drafts, etc.?)	Sec. 1.1 (p. 1); Sec. 3.2 (p. 13)	A list of Steering Committee members is provided in sec. 3.2 and the involvement of contractors is described in sec. 1.1.		X
C. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan indicate how the public was involved? (Was the public provided an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to the plan approval?)	Sec. 3.3, (p. 14); Sec. 3.4, (pp. 14-15)	Appendix B also includes detailed participation documentation.		X

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 4. Documentation of the Planning Process

**Requirement §201.6(b):** *In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process shall include:*

- (1) *An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;*
- (2) *An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and*
- (3) *Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.*

**Requirement §201.6(c)(1):** *[The plan shall document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*

	Location in the	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
D. Does the new or updated plan discuss the opportunity for neighboring communities, agencies, businesses, academia, nonprofits, and other interested parties to be involved in the planning process?	Sec. 3.2 (p. 13); Sec. 3.4 (p. 14)	Stakeholders are included in the list of Steering Committee members on p. 13.		X
E. Does the planning process describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Sec. 3.6 (p. 18); Sec. 5.2 (p. 63)	Sec. 5.2 is particularly robust, discussing specific programs and how they relate to goals of mitigation.		X
F. Does the updated plan document how the planning team reviewed and analyzed each section of the plan and whether each section was revised as part of the update process?	Sec. 3.1 (p. 12); Sec. 4.1 (p. 19); Sec. 5.1 (p. 62); Sec. 6.1 (p. 83); Sec. 7.1 (p. 99)	Narrative descriptions are provided at the beginning of every section of the plan.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

**RISK ASSESSMENT:** §201.6(c)(2): *The plan shall include a risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified hazards.*

### 5. Identifying Hazards

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):** *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the type ... of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan include a description of the types of all natural hazards that affect the jurisdiction?	Sec. 4.2 (p. 23); Sections 4.4.1-4.4.2 (pp. 54-56); Appendix C			X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### 6. Profiling Hazards

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):** *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the ... location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the*

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

jurisdiction. The plan **shall** include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the risk assessment identify the <b>location</b> ( <i>i.e.</i> , geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Section 4.3 (p. 28-53)	Plan has sections titled "location and extent" for each hazard which details the geographic area affected.		X
B. Does the risk assessment identify the <b>extent</b> ( <i>i.e.</i> , magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Section 4.3 (p. 28-53)	Plan has sections titled "range of magnitude" which details the magnitude or severity of each hazard profiled.		X
C. Does the plan provide information on <b>previous occurrences</b> of each hazard addressed in the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Section 4.3 (p. 28-53)	Plan has a section titled "past occurrences" which details previous occurrences, and is also updated with any recent events.		X
D. Does the plan include the <b>probability of future events</b> ( <i>i.e.</i> , chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the <b>new or updated</b> plan?	Section 4.3 (p. 28-53)	Plan has a section titled "future occurrence" for each hazard profiled that provides a qualitative description of probability of future events.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### 7. Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii):** [The risk assessment **shall** include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description **shall** include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include an <b>overall summary</b> description of the jurisdiction's <b>vulnerability</b> to each hazard?	Section 4.3 (p. 28-53); Sec. 4.4 (p. 54)	A summary of overall vulnerability is provided for each hazard using a rating factor approach.		X
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan address the <b>impact</b> of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Section 4.3 (p. 28-53); Sec. 4.4 (p. 54)	The impact of each hazard is taken into account in the rating factor approach and explained in detail for each hazard.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 8. Assessing Vulnerability: Addressing Repetitive Loss Properties

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii):** [The risk assessment] **must** also address National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insured structures that have been repetitively damaged floods.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of <i>repetitive loss properties</i> located in the identified hazard areas?	4.4.3.1 (p.57)			X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### 9. Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A):** The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard area ... .

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe vulnerability in terms of the <b>types and numbers</b> of <b>existing</b> buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Sections 4.3.x.5 (multiple pages)			X
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe vulnerability in terms of the <b>types and numbers</b> of <b>future</b> buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Section 4.4.4 (p. 59)			X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### 10. Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B):** [The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate ... .

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan estimate <b>potential dollar losses</b> to vulnerable structures?	Sec. 4.4.3 (p. 56)	Potential dollar losses are given for each jurisdiction for flooding.		X
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the <b>methodology</b> used to prepare the estimate?	Sec. 4.4.3 (p. 56)	HAZUS was used in addition to local data.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 11. Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C):** [The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe land uses and development trends?	Sec. 2.4 (p. 9); sec. 4.4.4 (p. 59)	Both sections provide well-sourced relevant information to land use and development trends.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### 12. Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

**Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii):** For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment **must** assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include a risk assessment for each participating jurisdiction as needed to reflect unique or varied risks?	Sec. 4.3.x.1, sec. 4.3.x.5	Where geographical extent is applicable, the vulnerability assessments indicate which jurisdictions are affected.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

**MITIGATION STRATEGY:** §201.6(c)(3): The plan shall include a mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction's blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.

### 13. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

**Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i):** [The hazard mitigation strategy **shall** include a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include a description of mitigation <b>goals</b> to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Sec. 6.2.1 (p. 84)	5 goals are listed addressing mitigation and response capabilities.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 14. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions

**Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii):** [The mitigation strategy **shall** include a] section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify and analyze a <b>comprehensive range</b> of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?	Sec. 6.4 (p. 88); Sec. 6.4.2 (p. 91)			X
B. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on <b>new</b> buildings and infrastructure?	Sec. 6.4, goals 1 and 2 (pp. 88-89)	Regulations for new construction are discussed in goal 2.		X
C. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on <b>existing</b> buildings and infrastructure?	Sec. 6.4, goals 1, 2, and 5 (pp. 88-90)	New regulations, mitigation projects, and actions to obtain better information are included.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### 15. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Compliance

**Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(ii):** [The mitigation strategy] must also address the jurisdiction's participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe the <b>jurisdiction (s) participation in the NFIP?</b>	Sec. 5.2.2.1-5.2.2.2 (pp. 64-65)			X
B. Does the mitigation strategy identify, analyze and prioritize actions related to continued compliance with the NFIP?	Sec. 6.2.2 (p. 84); Sec. 6.4.1 (p. 90)			X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 16. Implementation of Mitigation Actions

**Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(iii):** [The mitigation strategy section **shall** include] an action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization **shall** include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> mitigation strategy include how the actions are <b>prioritized</b> ? (For example, is there a discussion of the process and criteria used?)	Sec. 6.4.2 (p. 91); Sec. 6.4.3 (p. 97)	Actions were considered using the PA STEEL system and prioritized by vote of the Steering Committee.		x
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> mitigation strategy address how the actions will be implemented and administered, including the responsible department, existing and potential resources and the timeframe to complete each action?	Appendix F	Relevant information is provided about how actions will be administered and implemented. Implementation could benefit from additional detail, however.		x
C. Does the <b>new or updated</b> prioritization process include an emphasis on the use of a <b>cost-benefit review</b> to maximize benefits?	Sec. 6.4.3 (p. 97); Appendix F	PA STEEL involved cost-benefit review.		x
D. Does the <b>updated</b> plan identify the completed, deleted or deferred mitigation actions as a benchmark for progress, and if activities are unchanged ( <i>i.e.</i> , deferred), does the updated plan describe why no changes occurred?	Sec. 6.1 (p. 84)			x
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				x

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

### 17. Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions

**Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv):** For multi-jurisdictional plans, there **must** be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include identifiable <b>action items</b> for each jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval of the plan?	Appendix F	Several actions apply to all jurisdictions requesting FEMA approval.		X
B. Does the <b>updated</b> plan identify the completed, deleted or deferred mitigation actions as a benchmark for progress, and if activities are unchanged ( <i>i.e.</i> , deferred), does the updated plan describe why no changes occurred?	Sec. 6.1 (p. 84)			X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

### PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

#### 18. Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

**Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i):** [The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the method and schedule for <b>monitoring</b> the plan, including the responsible department?	Sec. 7.2 (p. 99)	The plan will be reviewed annually and after emergency declarations.		X
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the method and schedule for <b>evaluating</b> the plan, including how, when and by whom ( <i>i.e.</i> the responsible department)?	Sec. 7.2 (p. 99), Appendix F	The Steering Committee's review will conclude with a Progress Report. The Progress Report template is located in Appendix E.		X
C. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan describe the method and schedule for <b>updating</b> the plan within the five-year cycle?	Sec. 7.2 (p. 99)	New projects will be solicited annually.		X
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				X

**LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK**

**19. Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms**

**Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii):** [The plan **shall** include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan identify other local planning mechanisms available for incorporating the mitigation requirements of the mitigation plan?	Sec. 7.3 (p. 100)	The plan identifies Land Use Ordinances and Regulations, the Emergency Operations Plan (Title 35), and the Comprehensive Plan (MPC Section 301).		x
B. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan include a process by which the local government will incorporate the mitigation strategy and other information contained in the plan (e.g., risk assessment) into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate?	Sec. 7.3 (p. 100)	The plan gives schedules and provides a figure demonstrating how future incorporation will take place.		x
C. Does the <b>updated</b> plan explain how the local government incorporated the mitigation strategy and other information contained in the plan (e.g., risk assessment) into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate?	Sec. 7.3 (pp. 100-101)			x
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				x

**Continued Public Involvement**

**Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii):** [The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the <b>new or updated</b> plan explain how <b>continued public participation</b> will be obtained? (For example, will there be public notices, an on-going mitigation plan committee, or annual review meetings with stakeholders?)	Sec. 7.4 (p. 103)	The plan will be posted on the County website and public comment will be encouraged.		x
<b>SUMMARY SCORE</b>				x